UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,120	09/22/2003	J. Lyell Ginter	P/3474-50 REISSUE	8754
	7590 01/21/201 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			FREAY, CHARLES GRANT	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/669,120	GINTER ET AL.			
Examiner-induced interview Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles G. Freay	3746			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pen</u>	ding/rejected 8/2009			
(1) <u>Charles G. Freay</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>David Torrente</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 6 January 2010	Time: <u>4:45 pm</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Obviousness Type Double Patenting					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Charles G Freay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3746	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that the Amendment of 11/20/2009 had overcome the prior art rejections. However a supplemental declaration addressing the errors correct by the amendment would be required (note 37 CFR 1.175). Furthermore, the examiner noted that the Terminal Disclaimer of 11/20/09 was not accepted. Mr. torrente stated that he would submit a new Terminal Disclaimer and a Supplemental Declaration as soon as possible.